

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,882	02/09/2004	Kevin Kwong-Tai Chung	AI-TECH-16B	8813	
110 75	90 11/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DANN, DORF	FMAN, HERRELL &	DINH, T	DINH, TUAN T		
1601 MARKET	STREET				
SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A, PA 19103-2307		2841		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				11/	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/774,882	CHUNG, KEVIN KV	WONG-TAI	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
_		Tuan T. Dinh	2841		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Ac</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		nerits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 is/are withdraw Claim(s) 4-6,9-16,22 and 23 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,7,8 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	n from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the ledrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the ledrawing(s) is objected to by the ledrawing(s	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR		
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	_		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	l 52)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (U.S. Patent 6,265,782) in view of Bernier et al. (U.S. Patent 5,847,929), and further in view of Hodges (U.S. Patent 5,337,179).

As to claims 1-2, Yamamoto et al. discloses a molecularly flexible dielectric electronic substrate (4, column 20, line 49) as shown in figures 1-3, 5, and 7A-7F comprising:

at least one layer of molecularly flexible dielectric adhesive (1-figures 1 and 5, column 20, lines 29-31 or 3-figures 2-3, and 7, column 20, line 50) having a modulus of elasticity less than about 500,000 psi (the modulus is measured at -50°C to 300°C, see column 14, lines 27-45), having a glass transition temperature less than about 0°C (the heat resistant thermoplastic film having Tg of -10oC or above, see column 4, line 66 through column 5, line 42), and having the ability to withstand soldering at a temperature of about 220°C (see column 5, line 56 through column 6, line 15);

a metal foil (9-figure 5, column 21, line 4) on one surface (a bottom surface of the adhesive 1-figure 5) of said layer of molecularly flexible dielectric adhesive (1-figure 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,882

Art Unit: 2841

wherein said metal foil (9) is patterned to define a pattern of electrical conductors having a plurality of contact sites (solder balls formed into through holes and connected to the wiring 9).

Yamamoto et al. does not disclose the contact cites of the metal foil for receiving contacts of an electronic device.

Bernier et al. teaches a module assembly (250) as shown in figure 5 comprising a flexible substrate (256) having a plurality of contact cites (pads formed at a bottom of the substrate) connected to contacts (282) of an electronic device (280), see column 8, lines 50-62, column 9, lines 20-25.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have contact cites of a metal foil for receiving contacts of an electronic device as taught by Bernier et al., employed in the substrate of Yamamoto et al. in order to provide a level interconnection structure to form an enclosure electronic/semiconductor packaging.

Yamamoto et al. and Bernier et al. do not disclose the flexible substrate having a modulus of elasticity less than about 500,000 psi.

Hodges teaches a flexible substrate (22) as shown in figures 2-4 having a modulus of elasticity less than about 500,000 psi, see column 2, lines 65-66, column 5, line 43 through column 6, line 68.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a teaching of Hodges employed in the substrate of

Art Unit: 2841

Yamamoto and Bernier et al. in order to provide a controllable surface applied on a substrate when under high pressure.

As to claim 3, Yamamoto et al. does not disclose the electronic device having a plurality of contacts soldered to corresponding one of the contacts sites of the patterned metal foil on said molecularly flexible dielectric adhesive layer.

Bernier et al. teach the electronic device (280) as shown in figure 5 having a plurality of pads (282) soldered (259, 284) to corresponding the contact cites (the pads 260) of the substrate (256).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a device having a plurality of contacts soldered to the contact cites of a metal foil of the substrate as taught by Bernier et al., employed in the substrate of Yamamoto et al. in order to provide a better conductivity and bonding structure.

As to claims 7-8, Yamamoto et al. discloses said molecularly flexible dielectric adhesive has a modulus of elasticity less than about 100,000 psi or less than about 20, 000 psi (see column 14, lines 27-36, and also, the attached paper attaching with the conversion between Mpa to psi).

3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. ('782) in view of Bernier et al. ('929) and Hodges ('179), and further in view of Brodsky et al. (U.S. Patent 5,984,691).

As to claim 21, Yamamoto et al. Bernier et al., and Hodges do not disclose a plated electrically conductive layer on at least the contact sites of said metal foil.

Brodsky et al. shows a flexible substrate (50) as shown in figure 1 comprising a plated through hole (63, column 6, lines 50-51) on at least a contact cite of a metal foil (56).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a plated electrically conductive layer on at least the contact sites of said metal foil as taught by Brodsky et al. employed the substrate of Yamamoto et al. and Bernier et al. in order to provide an interconnection between interlayer of a substrate.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4-6, 9-16, and 22-23 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the references cited disclose a molecularly flexible dielectric substrate comprising: a first layer of molecularly flexible dielectric adhesive, first and second metal foils defined pattern of first and second electrical conductors, and some other claimed elements. However, they do not disclose or render obvious in combination of the substrate having a protective enclosure sounding the electronic device having attached at least along its periphery to the molecularly flexible dielectric adhesive layer (as recited in claim 4), and an underfill adhesive bonding the electronic device and said molecularly flexible dielectric adhesive layer (as recited in claim 5), a plurality of electrically conductive vias

Art Unit: 2841

through the first layer of molecularly flexible dielectric adhesive, the plurality of electrically conductive vias being in a pattern for providing electrical connection between ones of the first electrical conductors and ones of said second electrical conductors (as recited in claim 9).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/774,882

Art Unit: 2841

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Dinh October 26, 2005.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 7